

|   |                        |                     |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|
| <b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b> | <b>Application No.</b> | <b>Applicant(s)</b> |
|   | 09/665,133             | NAKANE ET AL.       |
|   | <b>Examiner</b>        | <b>Art Unit</b>     |
|   | Jason M Perilla        | 2634                |

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** pending

(1) Jason M Perilla.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) David J. Zoetewey.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 27 January 2005

**Time:** 4PM

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1-18

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*The applicant reviewed and approved an Examiner's amendment to place the case into direct condition for allowance.*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)